

Project number: Name applicant: Title of project: UitZicht
P.O. box 200
6710 BE EDE
The Netherlands

T 0318-767010 E <u>aanvraag@uitzicht.nl</u> I www.uitzicht.nl

Assessment form Scientific Quality Version August 2023 This form is not suitable for mobile devices

Special attention is requested for the box 'Explain your scores'. Please always provide your arguments. This enhances the significance of your opinion enormously!

Is there any possible conflict of interest in judging this application?		NO
If yes, please explain briefly:		
Do you agree to have your name mentioned in the reviewers list on the UitZicht website? The list is in alphabetical order, not project related and will only be published if at least 25 reviewers say yes	YES	NO
Criteria (please read the enclosed Remarks first) Judgment/Score like a school report card – Give your score from: 1 = Very poor up to 10 = Excellent, where 10 also means that the application belongs to the applications You ever read as a reviewer N.A. = not applicable	e <u>top 5%</u> (of the
Aim and study question Consider: clarity; concreteness of the aim; theoretical framework of the study question; originality		Score
Explain your score (mandatory)*:		
Strategy Consider: clarity; adequacy for the study question; adequacy of the chosen methods and analysis		Score
Explain your score (mandatory)*:		
Researcher and group		Score
Consider: the experience and productivity of the researcher and group in the recent years and the impact of their products.		00010
Explain your score (mandatory)*:		

* Please kindly support your score with a thorough explanation, otherwise UitZicht might feel forced

to ignore your review.



UitZicht P.O. box 200 6710 BE EDE The Netherlands T 0318-767010 E <u>aanvraag@uitzicht.nl</u> I www.uitzicht.nl

Scientific importance for ophthalmology					Score
Explain your score (mandatory)*:					
Explain your occio (manaatory) .					
Knowledge transfer					Score
Consider: has this topic been adequately addressed	ed?				
Explain your score (mandatory)*:					
Feasibility					Score
Think of: will the aims be reached with this approac	ch?				
Explain your score (mandatory)*:					
Time schedule					Score
Consider: realistic					
Explain your score (mandatory)*:					
Budget				! ! .	
Budget Place a cross where applicable		Too high	K	ealistic	Too low
Explain your score (mandatory)*:					
Explain your soore (mandatory) .					
Overall score between 1 (very poor) an 10 (exce	ellent. to	p 5% ever read	<mark>I)</mark>		Score
How would you rate this project as a whole, consider			•	above and, if	
applicable, other aspects that are important accordi					
Please explain thoroughly when there is a discrepa	ancy betw	veen your previ	ous scores	and your over	rall score:
According to your opinion, do you advise the b				YES	NO
to reward this application with a grant (without applications and knowledge of the funds budge					
Explain (mandatory)*:	<u> </u>				

* Please kindly support your score with a thorough explanation, otherwise UitZicht might feel forced

to ignore your review.



UitZicht P.O. box 200 6710 BE EDE The Netherlands T 0318-767010 E <u>aanvraag@uitzicht.nl</u> I www.uitzicht.nl

Remarks on the criteria of the assessment form

Aim and study question

This criterion regards the clarity and originality. The study question should be concrete and verifiable. Also judge the importance of the subject. Is there an adequate theoretical or empirical foundation? What knowledge and experience is already available; what does the project add? Finally, there should be no replication of earlier or ongoing projects.

Strategy

The plan is clear and adequate for the study question involved. It describes the chosen methods and analyses, including the theoretical and or empirical foundations. The plan also outlines – when applicable – in what way the factors gender, age, ethnic background and/or other relevant factors are detailed. It details collaboration with intermediate and/or final target group (the patients - / consumer's perspective).

In a *study project* the judgment primarily concerns the study design, study population or data sources, intervention(s), outcome measures, sample size and data analysis.

In a *development project* you judge the end product, the development method or intervention strategy, a possible pre-test, the partners, users or target groups involved in the product development, the degree in which the results/products will be useful elsewhere, and the process and effect evaluation In a *preliminary* you provide a judgment about study design and the aspects mentioned above at *study project*. You judge in how far the *preliminary* is representative for the pursuing study project and whether the most relevant impeding and promoting factors for the success of the intended *study project* are outlined.

Researcher and group

This concerns the experience and productivity of the researcher and group in the recent years (publications, reports, guidelines, protocols, interventions) and the impact of their products. Success can also be measured by the number and kind of grants obtained, and (inter)national contacts with peers and target groups. But also promising new talent should stand a fair chance.

Scientific importance

The outcome of the study is useful for patients, now or in the long run. Also take into account the urgency for answering the study question, given the state of knowledge in the indicated field.

Knowledge transfer

The evaluation criteria for knowledge transfer of project results vary with the type of project. In case of a *study project* it mainly concerns optimal diffusion of the knowledge gained; in case of *applied study* and *development projects* it concerns implementation of the innovation. What is requested is:

- clear objectives for knowledge transfer
- good mix of activities for knowledge transfer and implementation
- mentioning impeding and promoting factors
- mentioning user and target groups and their degree of involvement in the project.

Feasibility

This means that the indicated study question can be answered with the available expertise, manpower, and facilities, within the planned time frame.